IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.RAMKUMAR

THURSDAY, THE 1ST FEBRUARY 2007 / 12TH MAGHA 1928

Bail Appl..No. 453 of 2007()

CRIME NO.186/06 OF EDACHERY POLICE STATION

: PETITIONERS:

ASHKAR SALEEM, AGED 26 YEARS,
S/O.MOIDEEN, THAZHEVERATH, PONMERIPARAMBIL,
VILLIAPPALLI, KOZHIKODE DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.P.NARAYANAN
SRI.M.C.RATNAKARAN

RESPONDENTS:

STATION HOUSE OFFICER,
EDACHERY POLICE STATION,
REP. BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF
KERALA, ERNAKULAM.

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.JAI GEORGE

THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 01/02/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

V. RAMKUMAR, J.

B.A. Nos. 453, 454 and 455OF 2007 B

Dated this the 1st day of February, 2007

O R D E R

The common petitioner in these bail applications, the accused in Crime No.186/06 of Edachery Police Station registered for offences punishable under sections 41(i)(d) and 102 Cr.P.C., seeks enlargement on bail. All the cases are now pending trial before the JFCM, Vatakara as C.C.No.422/06, S.T.No.2450/06 and C.C.No.496/06.

2. The learned Public Prosecutor opposed these applications. On an earlier occasion, this court as per common order dated 4.10.2006 was not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Instead, this court directed the trial to be completed within three months from the date of production of a copy of the order.

3. The learned Public Prosecutor, on instructions, submitted that the trial of the above cases are progressing and that they are expected to be over by the end of this month.

4. In the light of the above facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. But at the same time, the constitutional right of the petitioner for speedy trial is to be ensured.

Accordingly, the JFCM, Vatakara is directed to dispose of the aforementioned cases on or before 27.2.2007. In case, that court is not able to comply with the above time limit, it shall send a report to the Registry of this court through proper channel explaining the reasons as to why the above cases could not be disposed of within the above time frame.

These applications are disposed of as above.

(V. RAMKUMAR, JUDGE)

aks